Haggerston School

Aspiration Creativity Character

Non-Examination Assessment Policy 2023/24

Approved by	Date:	
Headteacher	September 2023	
Monitored by:	Full Review Due:	
Assistant Headteacher for Quality of Education: Impact	September 2024	

Role	Name(s)
Head of centre	Ciara Emmerson
Exams officer line manager (Senior Leader)	Thomas Larini
Exams officer	Kelly Hardy
SENCo	Martina Honorio
SLT member(s)	All Members of SLT

Aims:

The purpose of this policy, as defined by JCQ, is to

- cover procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments
- define staff roles and responsibilities with respect to non-examination assessments
- manage risks associated with non-examination assessments

What does this policy affect?

This policy affects the delivery of subjects of GCE and GCSE qualifications which contain a component(s) of non-examination assessment.

The regulator's definition of an examination is very narrow and in effect any type of assessment that is not 'externally set and taken by candidates at the same time under controlled conditions' is classified as non-examination assessment (NEA). 'NEA' therefore includes, but is not limited to, internal assessment. Externally marked and/or externally set practical examinations taken at different times across centres are classified as 'NEA'.

Targets/ Outcomes:

To ensure that non-examination assessments are consistently delivered: in keeping with JCQ guidance; within published exam board deadlines; with clear, managed and communicated processes, including any contingencies due to changed circumstances; so that students are able to complete all non-examination assessments through a fair, supportive and appropriate process.

Definitions

What are non-examination assessments?

Non-examination assessments measure subject-specific knowledge and skills that cannot be tested by timed written papers.

There are three assessment stages and rules which apply to each stage. These rules often vary across subjects. The stages are:

- task setting;
- task taking;
- task marking.

JCQ (Joint Council for Qualifications)

Ofqual (Office of Qualifications and Examinations Regulation)

NEA (Non-Examination Assessments)

Other Documents

This policy should be read in conjunction with the following documents:

- Assessment Policy
- Examination Contingency Policy

Roles and Responsibilities:

Students Adhere to systems and instructions from staff to ensure that they are compliant with the will: effective delivery of NEA, including completing the work required, meeting relevant deadlines, completing relevant forms and paperwork. **Teaching** Understands and complies with the general instructions as detailed in NEA Staff will: Where these may also be provided by the awarding body, understands and complies with the awarding body's specification for conducting non-examination assessments, including any subject-specific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information on the awarding body's website Marks internally assessed work to the criteria provided by the awarding body Ensures the exams officer is provided with relevant entry codes for subjects (whether the entry for the internally assessed component forms part of the overall entry code for the qualification or is made as a separate unit entry code) to the internal deadline for entries Determines when set tasks are issued by the awarding body Identifies date(s) when tasks should be taken by candidates • Accesses set tasks in sufficient time to allow planning, resourcing and teaching and ensures that materials are stored securely at all times • Ensures requirements for legacy specification tasks and new specification tasks are distinguished between Checks the awarding body's subject-specific requirements ensuring candidates take tasks under the required conditions and supervision arrangements Ensures there is sufficient supervision to enable the work of a candidate to be authenticated Ensures there is sufficient supervision to ensure the work a candidate submits is their own Is confident where work may be completed outside of the centre without direct supervision, that the work produced is the candidate's own. Where candidates may work in groups, keeps a record of each candidate's contribution Ensures candidates are aware of the current JCQ documents Information for candidates non-examination assessments and Information for candidates - Social Media Ensures candidates understand and comply with the regulations in relevant JCQ documents Information for candidates As relevant to the subject/component, advises candidates on relevant aspects before candidates begin working on a task • Will not provide candidates with model answers or outlines/headings specific to the task When reviewing candidates' work, unless prohibited by the specification, provides oral and written advice at a general level to candidates Allows candidates to revise and re-draft work after advice has been given at a general level Records any assistance given beyond general advice and takes it into account in the

marking or submits it to the external examiner

and researching their tasks

Ensures when work has been assessed, candidates are not allowed to revise it

Refers to the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation to

determine if candidates have restricted/unrestricted access to resources when planning

- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are known and put in place
- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to keep the work to be assessed, and any
 preparatory work, secure between any formally supervised sessions, including work that
 is stored electronically
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are understood and followed by candidates
- Ensures candidates understand that they are not allowed to introduce improved notes or new resources between formally supervised sessions
- Ensures that where appropriate to include references, candidates keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.
- Refers to the awarding body's specification to determine where word and time limits apply/are mandatory
- Unless stated otherwise in the awarding body's specification, and where appropriate, allows candidates to collaborate when carrying out research and preparatory work
- Ensures that it is possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures that where an assignment requires written work to be produced, each candidate writes up their own account of the assignment
- Assesses the work of each candidate individually
- Where required by the awarding body's specification
- ensures candidates sign a declaration confirming the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work
- signs the teacher declaration of authentication confirming the requirements have been met
- Keeps signed candidate declarations on file until the deadline for requesting reviews of results has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later
- Provides signed candidate declarations where these may be requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector
- Where there may be doubt about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or if malpractice is suspected, follows the authentication procedures and malpractice information in <u>NEA</u> and informs a member of the senior leadership team
- Obtains informed consent at the beginning of the course from parents/carers if videos or photographs/images of candidates will be included as evidence of participation or contribution
- Selects tasks to be undertaken where a number of comparable tasks are provided by the awarding body OR designs tasks where this is permitted by criteria set out within the subject specification
- Makes candidates aware of the criteria used to assess their work
- Instructs candidates to present work as detailed in <u>NEA</u> unless the awarding body's specification gives different subject-specific instructions
- Instructs candidates to add their candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment as a header/footer on each page of their work
- When work is being undertaken by candidates under formal supervision, ensures work is securely stored between sessions (if more than one session)

- When work is submitted by candidates for final assessment, ensures work is securely stored
- Follows secure storage instructions as defined in NEA 4.8
- Takes sensible precautions when work is taken home for marking
- Stores internally assessed work, including the sample returned after awarding body
 moderation, securely until the closing date for reviews of results or until the outcome of a
 review or any subsequent appeal has been completed
- Reminds candidates of the need to keep their own work secure at all times and not share completed or partially completed work on-line, on social media or through any other means (the JCQ document Information for candidates – social media should be brought to the attention of candidates)
- Liaises with the IT Manager to ensure that appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically
- Liaises with the exams officer regarding the arrangements for any externally assessed components of a specification which must be conducted within a window of dates specified by the awarding body and according to JCQ Instructions for conducting examinations
- Liaises with the Visiting Examiner where this may be applicable to any externally assessed component
- Provides the attendance register to a Visiting Examiner
- Attends awarding body training as required to ensure familiarity with the mark scheme/marking process
- Marks candidates' work in accordance with the marking criteria provided by the awarding body
- Annotates candidates' work as required to facilitate internal standardisation of marking and enable external moderation to check that marking is in line with the assessment criteria.
- Informs candidates of their marks which could be subject to change by the awarding body moderation process
- Ensures candidates are informed to the timescale set by the subject lead or as indicated
 in the centre's internal appeals procedure to enable an internal appeal/request for a
 review of marking to be submitted by a candidate and the outcome known before final
 marks are submitted to the awarding body
- Indicates on work (or cover sheet) the date of marking
- Marks to common standards
- Keeps candidates work secure until after the closing date for review of results for the series concerned or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later
- Inputs and submits marks online, via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a
 record of the marks awarded, to the external deadline/Provides marks to the exams
 officer to the internal deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the awarding body moderator by

- the external deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Ensures that where a candidate's work has been facilitated by a scribe or practical
 assistant, the relevant completed cover sheet is securely attached to the front of the work
 and sent to the moderator in addition to the sample requested
- Ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required
- Ensures that awarding body or its moderator receive the correct samples of candidates' work
- Where relevant, liaises with the awarding body/moderator where the moderator visits the centre to mark the sample of work
- Complies with any request from the moderator for remaining work or further evidence of the centre's marking
- Works with the SENCo to ensure any access arrangements for eligible candidates are applied to assessments
- Understands that a candidate may be eligible for special consideration in assessments in certain situations where a candidate is absent and/or produces a reduced quantity of work
- Liaises with the exams officer when special consideration may need to be applied for a candidate taking assessments
- Liaises with the exams officer to report loss of work to the awarding body
- Is aware of the JCQ <u>Notice to Centres Sharing NEA material and candidates' work</u> to mitigate against candidate and centre malpractice
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates</u> non-examination assessments
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates Social</u> Media
- Escalates and reports any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates to the head of centre
- Provides advice and guidance to candidates on their results and the post-results services available
- Provides the exams officer with the original sample or relevant sample of candidates' work that may be required for a review of moderation to the internal deadline
- Supports the exams officer in collecting candidate consent where required

Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England:

- Ensures all the JCQ/awarding body requirements/instructions in relation to the endorsement are known, understood and followed
- Ensures the required arrangements for practical activities are in place
- Provides all the required centre records
- Ensures candidates provide the required records
- Provides any required information to the subject lead regarding the monitoring visit
- Assesses candidates using Common Practical Assessment Criteria (CPAC)

- Applies for an exemption where a candidate cannot access the practical endorsement due to a substantial impairment
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of candidates *Pass* or *Not Classified* assessment outcome/provides assessment outcomes to the exams officer to the internal deadline

Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England

- Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood
- Follows the required task setting and task taking instructions
- Assesses candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Provides audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates for monitoring purposes
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades (*Pass, Merit, Distinction* or *Not Classified*) and the storage and submission of recordings

Subject Leaders will:

- Ensures subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities within the non-examination assessment process
- Ensures <u>NEA</u> and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements)
- Works with the QA lead/Lead internal verifier to ensure appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers
- Sets timescales for teachers to inform candidates of their centre-assessed marks that will allow sufficient time for a candidate to appeal an internal assessment decision/request a review of the centre's marking prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body external deadline
- Checks the final moderated marks when issued to the centre when the results are published
- Checks moderator reports and ensures that any remedial action, if necessary, is undertaken before the next exam series
- Provides relevant support to subject teachers making decisions about reviews of results

Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England:

- Confirms understanding of the Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences
 designed for use in England and ensures any relevant JCQ/awarding body instructions are
 followed
- Ensures where the centre intends to enter candidates for the first time for one or more of the A level subjects, the relevant awarding body will be contacted at the beginning of the course
- Undertakes any training provided by the awarding body on the implementation of the practical endorsement
- Disseminates information to subject teachers ensuring the standards can be applied appropriately
- Liaises with all relevant parties in relation to arrangements for and conduct of a

	monitoring visit
	Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for
	use in England
	 Confirms understanding of the Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England and ensures any relevant JCQ/awarding body instructions are followed Ensures the required task setting and task taking instructions are followed by subject
	 teachers Ensures subject teachers assess candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria Ensures for monitoring purposes, audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample
	of candidates are provided
SENCO will:	 Follows the regulations and guidance in the JCQ publication Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments in relation to non-examination assessments including Reasonable Adjustments for GCE A-level sciences – Endorsement of practical skills Where arrangements do not undermine the integrity of the qualification and is the candidate's normal way of working, will ensure access arrangements are in place and awarding body approval, where required, has been obtained prior to assessments taking place Makes subject teachers aware of any access arrangements for eligible candidates which need to be applied to assessments Works with subject teachers to ensure requirements for access arrangement candidates requiring the support of a facilitator in assessments are met Ensures that staff acting as an access arrangement facilitator are fully trained in their role
IT Manager will:	Ensure appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically
Exams Officer will:	 Confirms with subject heads that appropriate awarding body forms and templates for non-examination assessments (including endorsements) are used by teachers and candidates Ensures appropriate procedures are in place to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers in line with awarding body criteria Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information given to candidates by subject teachers Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information is received and understood by candidates Where not provided by the awarding body, ensures a centre-devised template is provided for candidates to keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc. Signposts the annually updated JCQ publication NEA to relevant centre staff Carries out tasks where these may be applicable to the role in supporting the administration/management of non-examination assessment Arranges timetabling, rooming and invigilation where and if this is applicable to any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification

- Conducts the externally assessed component within the window specified by the awarding body and according to JCQ Instructions for conducting examinations
- Provides the attendance register to the subject teacher where the component may be assessed by a Visiting Examiner
- Ensures the awarding body's attendance register for any externally assessed component is completed correctly to show candidates who are present and any who may be absent
- Where candidates' work must be despatched to an awarding body's examiner, ensures the completed attendance register accompanies the work
- Keeps a copy of the attendance register until after the deadline for reviews of results for the exam series
- Packages the work as required by the awarding body and attaches the examiner address label
- Despatches the work to the awarding body's instructions by the required deadline
- Inputs and submits marks online, via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a
 record of the marks submitted, to the external deadline/Confirms with subject teachers
 that marks have been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the moderator by the awarding body deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Confirms with Subject teacher that the moderation sample has been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Ensures that for postal moderation
 - work is dispatched in packaging provided by the awarding body
 - moderator label(s) provided by the awarding body are affixed to the packaging
 - proof of dispatch is obtained and kept on file until the successful issue of final results
- Through the subject teacher, ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required
- Keeps a record of names and candidate numbers for candidates whose work was included in the moderation sample
- Retains all marked candidates' work (including any sample returned after moderation)
 under secure conditions for the required retention period
- Takes steps to protect any work stored electronically from corruption and has a back-up procedure in place
- Retains evidence of work where retention may be a problem (for example, photos of artefacts etc.)
- Ensures any sample returned after moderation is logged and returned to the subject teacher for secure storage and required retention
- Accesses or signposts moderator reports to relevant staff
- Takes remedial action, if necessary, where feedback may relate to centre administration
- Refers to/directs relevant staff to the JCQ publication <u>A guide to the special consideration</u> process
- Where a candidate is eligible, submits an application for special consideration via the

- awarding body's secure extranet site to the prescribed timescale
- Where application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site
 is not applicable, submits the required form to the awarding body to the prescribed
 timescale
- Keeps required evidence on file to support the application
- Signposts the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments:</u>
 Policies and Procedures to the head of centre
- Signposts the JCQ <u>Notice to Centres Sharing NEA material and candidates' work</u> to subject heads
- Signposts candidates to the relevant JCQ information for candidates documents
- Where required, supports the head of centre in investigating and reporting incidents of alleged, suspected or actual malpractice
- Is aware of the individual post-results services available for externally assessed and internally assessed components of non-examination assessments as detailed in the JCQ publication Post-Results Services (Information and guidance to centres...)
- Provides/signposts relevant centre staff and candidates to post-results services information
- Ensures any requests for post-results services that are available to non-examination assessments are submitted online via the awarding body secure extranet site to deadline
- Collects candidate consent where required

Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England:

- Accepts contact with the monitor and pass information to the subject lead for a visit to be arranged with at least two weeks notice
- Confirms with the subject teacher that assessment outcomes have been submitted to the
 awarding body to the external deadline/Follows the awarding body's instructions for the
 submission of candidates *Pass* or *Not Classified* assessment outcome

Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England:

Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades and recordings

SLT Lead will:

- Provide oversight of the Exams Officer(s), quality assuring the systems and information in place. Ensure that staff in the areas they line manage are clear in relation to relevant guidance about expectations and systems for the delivery of NEA, including: JCQ guidance, measures of control, deadlines and examination board requirements.
- Ensure the correct conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements)
 which comply with NEA and awarding body subject-specific instructions
- Ensure the centre-wide calendar records assessment schedules by the start of the academic year
- Ensures that internal standardisation of marks across assessors and teaching groups takes place as required and to sequence
- Supports staff not familiar with the mark scheme (e.g. NQTs, supply staff etc.)
- Ensures accurate internal standardisation for example by:
 - obtaining reference materials at an early stage in the course

- o holding a preliminary trial marking session prior to marking
- carrying out further trial marking at appropriate points during the marking period
- after most marking has been completed, holds a further meeting to make final adjustments
- o making final adjustments to marks prior to submission
- retaining work and evidence of standardisation
- Retains evidence that internal standardisation has been carried out

Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England:

 Ensures the appropriate arrangements are in place for implementing the requirements of the practical endorsement appropriately and applying the assessment criteria correctly

Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England:

 Ensures the appropriate arrangements are in place for internal standardisation of assessments

Headteacher (Head of Centre) will:

- Provides a signed declaration as part of the National Centre Number Register Annual
 Update to confirm awareness of and that relevant centre staff are adhering to the latest version of <u>NEA</u>
- Ensures the centre's *non-examination assessment policy* is fit for purpose
- Ensures the centre's internal appeals procedures clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks) and requesting a review of the centre's marking
- Ensures where a teacher teaches his/her own child, a conflict of interest is declared to the awarding body and the marked work of the child submitted for moderation, whether it is part of the moderation sample or not.
- Understands the responsibility to immediately report to the relevant awarding body any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates, teachers, invigilators or other administrative staff
- Is familiar with the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and</u>
 Assessments: Policies and Procedures
- Ensures that those members of teaching staff involved in the direct supervision of candidates producing non-examination assessment are aware of the potential for malpractice and ensures that teaching staff are reminded that failure to report allegations of malpractice or suspected malpractice constitutes malpractice in itself
- Is familiar with the JCQ publication <u>Post-Results Services</u>
- Ensures the centre's *internal appeals procedures* clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against a centre decision not to support a review of results or an appeal

Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England:

Provides a signed declaration as part of the National Centre Number Register Annual Update, that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates

- at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the prescribed practical activities
- Ensures new lead teachers undertake the required training provided by the awarding body on the implementation of the practical endorsement
- Ensures relevant centre staff liaise with all relevant parties in relation to arrangements for and conduct of the monitoring visit

Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England:

Provides a signed declaration as part of the National Centre Number Register Annual
Update, that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates
at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the Spoken Language
endorsement

Appendix 1: Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Task setting Awarding body set task: IT failure/corruption of task details where set task details accessed from the awarding body online	Awarding body key date for accessing/downloading set task noted prior to start of course IT systems checked prior to key date Alternative IT system used to gain access Awarding body contacted to request direct email of task details	Exams Officer/IT Department
Centre set task: Subject teacher fails to meet the assessment criteria as detailed in the specification	Ensures that subject teachers access awarding body training information, practice materials etc. Records confirmation that subject teachers understand the task setting arrangements as defined in the awarding body's specification Samples assessment criteria in the centre set task	HOF SLT Lead
Candidates do not understand the marking criteria and what they need to do to gain credit	A simplified version of the awarding body's marking criteria described in the specification that is not specific to the work of an individual candidate or group of candidates is produced for candidates Records confirm all candidates understand the marking criteria Candidates confirm/record they understand the marking criteria	HOF SLT Lead
Subject teacher long term absence during the task setting stage	See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle	N/A
Issuing of tasks Task for legacy specification given to candidates undertaking new specification	Ensures subject teachers take care to distinguish between requirements/tasks for legacy specifications and requirements/tasks for new specifications Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	Class Teacher HOF
Awarding body set task not issued to candidates on time	Awarding body key date for accessing set task as detailed in the specification noted prior to start of course Course information issued to candidates contains details when set task will be issued and needs to be completed by Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for planning, resourcing and teaching	Class Teacher HOF
The wrong task is given to candidates	Ensures course planning and information taken from the awarding body's specification confirms the correct task will be issued to candidates Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	Class Teacher HOF
Subject teacher long term absence during the issuing of tasks stage	See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle	N/A
A candidate (or parent/carer) expresses concern about safeguarding, confidentiality or faith in undertaking a task such	Ensures the candidate's presentation does not form part of the sample which will be recorded Contacts the awarding body at the earliest opportunity where unable to record the required number of candidates for the	Subject Teacher Exams Officer

2
alendar SLT Lead
HOF
s and IT
s and Tr
fficient for Exams Officer
SLT Lead
m venue at
Ti venue ut
e current JCQ
tion Exams Officer
niled in the HOF
ervision of SLT Lead
, ,
ination
ation
ments (section Exams Officer
Subject Teacher
ernal HOF
SLT Lead
A guide to
ermine the Exams Officer
tion for the Inclusion Team
<u>, </u>
teachers to
work begins Subject Teacher
HOF
cords and
e given to
riate to the
ven prior to
toroboroto
teachers to
es during the Subject Teacher
rance HOF
cords and
Jorus unu
iven to
ate to the
ate to the
ven during the

		•
A third party claims that	An investigation is conducted; candidates and subject teacher are	CIT I and
assistance was given to	interviewed and statements recorded where relevant	SLT Lead
candidates by the subject	Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance	HOF
teacher over and above that	given	
allowed in the regulations and	Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted	
specification	to the awarding body	
Candidate does not reference	Candidate is advised at a general level to reference information	C. Internation
information from published	before work is submitted for formal assessment	Subject Teacher
source	Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for	
	candidates: non-examination assessments	
	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning,	
	resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion	
Candidate does not set out	Candidate is advised at a general level to review and re-draft the	
references as required	set out of references before work is submitted for formal	Subject Teacher
	assessment	-
	Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for	
	candidates: non-examination assessments	
	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning,	
	resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued	
	completion	
Candidate joins the course late	A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the candidate to	
after formally supervised task	catch up	HOF
taking has started		Exams Officer
Candidate moves to another	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine what can be	
centre during the course	done depending on the stage at which the move takes place	Exams Officer
An excluded pupil wants to	The awarding body specification is checked to determine if the	
complete his/her	specification is available to a candidate outside mainstream	Exams Officer
non-examination assessment(s)	education	
	If so, arrangements for supervision, authentication and marking	
	are made separately for the candidate	
Resources	Dranguate we note and the work to be presented and collected in	
A candidate augments notes	Preparatory notes and the work to be assessed are collected in	Subject Teacher
and resources between formally	and kept secure between formally supervised sessions	Subject Teacher
supervised sessions	Where memory sticks are used by candidates, these are collected	
	in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions Where work is stored on the centre's network, access for	
	candidates is restricted between formally supervised sessions	
A candidate fails to	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning,	
acknowledge sources on work	resources etc. is checked to confirm all the sources used, including	
that is submitted for	books, websites and audio/visual resources	
assessment	Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the	
4336331116116	candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records	
	acknowledges sources appropriately	Subject Teacher
	Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records,	Judgeet redefici
	awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is	
	submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	
Word and time limits		
A candidate is penalised by the	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been	
awarding body for exceeding	checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory	Subject Teacher
word or time limits	Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged	HOF
 	,	-

Collaboration and group work	from exceeding them Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on word or time limits is known and understood	
Candidates have worked in groups where the awarding body specification states this is not permitted	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	HOF Exams Officer
Authentication procedures	Secretary from the test of the secretary and the ICO	
A teacher has doubts about the authenticity of the work submitted by a candidate for internal assessment	Records confirm subject staff have been made aware of the JCQ document Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates:	Subject Teacher HOF Exams Officer SLT Lead
Candidate plagiarises other material	non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record that they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations for non-examination assessments as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body	
Candidate does not sign their authentication statement/declaration	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment	Exams Officer
Subject teacher not available to sign authentication forms	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to sign authentication forms at the point of marking candidates work as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures	HOF
Presentation of work		
Candidate does not fully complete the awarding body's cover sheet that is attached to their worked submitted for formal assessment	Cover sheet is checked to ensure it is fully completed before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment	Subject Teacher
Keeping materials secure		
Candidates work between formal supervised sessions is not securely stored	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments Regular monitoring/internal audit ensures subject teacher use of appropriate secure storage	Exams officer
Adequate secure storage not available to subject teacher	Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to subject teacher prior to the start of the course Alternative secure storage sourced where required	HOF Exams Officer
Candidates' work produced electronically is not securely secured.	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments Access to material is restricted	

	Security safeguards are in place	
	Sensitive digital media is encrypted to ensure security	
Task marking – externally assess	ed components	
A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an acceptable reason	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a request submitted to the awarding body where appropriate	Exams Officer
A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an unacceptable reason	The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register	HOY Exams Officer
Task marking – internally assesse	ed components	
A candidate submits little or no work	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body	Exams Officer
A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for shortfall in work	Exams Officer
The work of a candidate is lost or damaged	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 8), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or damaged work	N/A
Candidate malpractice is discovered	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments are followed Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed	Exams Officer
A teacher assesses the work of a candidate with whom they have a close personal relationship e.g. members of their family (which includes step-family, foster family and similar close relationships) or close friends and their immediate family (e.g. son/daughter)	A conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body that a teacher is teaching his/her own child at the start of the course Marked work of said child is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not	Exams Officer
An extension to the deadline for submission of marks is required for a legitimate reason	Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be granted Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for non-examination assessment extension	Exams Officer
After submission of marks, it is discovered that the wrong task	Awarding body is contacted for guidance Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to	Exams Officer

was given to candidates	the special consideration process (section 2), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for candidates	
A candidate wishes to appeal/request a review of the marks awarded for their work by their teacher	Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process Candidates are informed of their marks to the timescale identified in the centre's internal appeals procedure and prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal/request for a review of the centre's marking prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body	HOF SLT Lead Exams Officer
Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by candidate	Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the start of the course Candidates confirm/record deadlines known and understood Depending on the circumstances, awarding body guidance sought to determine if the work can be accepted late for marking providing the awarding body's deadline for submitting marks can be met Decision made (depending on the circumstances) if the work will be accepted late for marking or a mark of zero submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	HOF
Deadline for submitting marks and samples of candidates work ignored by subject teacher	Internal/external deadlines are published at the start of each academic year Reminders are issued through senior leaders/subject heads as deadlines approach Records confirm deadlines known and understood by subject teachers Where appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are followed	HOF SLT Lead
Subject teacher long term absence during the marking period	See centre's exam contingency plan (Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle)	N/A